

Disclaimer: This article has been published immediately upon acceptance (by the Editors of the journal) as a provisional PDF from the revised version submitted by the authors(s). The final PDF version of this article will be available from the journal URL shortly after approval of the proofs by authors(s) and publisher.

The PV MPPT Control Strategy Based on Generalized Predictive Control

Ni Fuyin, Li Zhengming and Pan Tianhong

The Open Fuels & Energy Science Journal, Volume 8, 2015

ISSN: 1876-973X

DOI: 10.2174/1876973X20150610E003

Article Type:

Received: April 14, 2015

Revised: May 21, 2015

Accepted: May 25, 2015

Provisional PDF Publication Date: June 11, 2015

© Fuyin *et al.*; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

The PV MPPT Control Strategy Based on Generalized Predictive Control

NI Fuyin^{1,2}, LI Zhengming^{*,1}, PAN Tianhong¹

¹*School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Jiangsu University, Jiangsu Zhenjiang, 212013, P.R. China*

²*School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Jiangsu University of Technology, Jiangsu Changzhou, 213001, P.R. China*

Abstract: The excursion of maximum power point always exists due to nonlinear and time-varying characteristics of photovoltaic (PV) generation. To solve this problem, the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control strategy based on generalized predictive control (GPC) is put forward. Firstly, the theory and feature of PV cell is analyzed, and the MPPT control model is constituted based on Boost circuit. Then the MPPT algorithm based on GPC is illustrated from such sections as prediction model, rolling optimization, online identification and feedback correction, the algorithm steps are given as well. Besides, the MPPT simulations are conducted by the methods based on GPC and PID in comparison. The comparison results testify that GPC algorithm is faster and more accurate to track the maximum power point. GPC algorithm has the characteristics like stability and robustness, and can be applied to solar generation system.

Keywords: Photovoltaic cell; MPPT; Generalized predictive control; PID; Boost circuit.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the industrialized society transforming to low-carbon society in the current world, developing green energy has become the research focus in all countries. Solar power generation has cleanable and renewable characteristics, which is recognized publicly as the most potential new energy technique. The source of solar generation is PV array, and the output power has a maximum power point in different external environment like solar irradiation, temperature, and variable load etc. But the nonlinearity and time-varying characteristics result in the excursion of maximum power point. The research centralized on maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is conducted extensively, and many achievements are obtained [1-12].

Most of MPPT control strategies are combinations algorithms considering some intelligent control algorithm, or some improved strategies based on the classical algorithm. Generally, the researches on PV MPPT control strategies are mainly classified as below: (1) Constant voltage method. This method is simple and effective, but the accuracy is not very high, and the power loss is relative much. (2) Conductance increment method [3-4]. This method avoids misjudgment like constant voltage method, and can output stable voltage when tracking solar irradiation. Its drawback is that sensor needs high precision and system response needs high speed. Therefore the hardware cost of inverter is higher than the other methods. (3) Perturbation and observation (P&O) method [5-11], this method is the most applied and studied for its good performance and simple operation. The PID method in P&O is to feedback and

correct deviation depending on of history error data. So the tracking precision and speed of response has contradiction to a certain degree, and the system cannot achieve the optimal control result.

In order to overcome the insufficient of PID method, MPPT improved method based on generalized predictive control (GPC) is proposed in the article. Firstly, PV cell theory and the equivalent circuit based on Boost circuit are to be analyzed, the maximum power express is to be deduced as well. Then the MPPT control system based on GPC is to be presented from the processes including prediction model, rolling optimization, and online feedback correction. Next, the optimum control algorithm is to be realized according to PV array dynamic feature. Eventually, the simulation comparison analysis is to be performed by Simulink between PID method and GPC method.

2. ANALYSIS OF PV CELL THEORY

The math model of PV cell is presented in Equ.(1)[12]

$$I = I_{ph} - I_o \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{q(U + IR_s)}{AKT} \right] - 1 \right\} - \frac{U + IR_s}{R_{sh}} \quad (1)$$

Where,

- | | |
|----------|--|
| I | Photovoltaic current (A) |
| I_{ph} | Photo current (A) |
| I_o | Diode saturation current (A) |
| A | Idealist factor for a p-n junction |
| K | Boltzman's factor (1.381×10^{-23} J/K) |

*Address correspondence to this author at the School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Jiangsu University, Jiangsu Zhenjiang, 212013, P.R. China; Tel:13705286653; E-mail: lzming@ujs.edu.cn

T	Temperature of a solar array($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
R_s	Series resistance (Ω)
R_{sh}	Shunt resistance (Ω)
q	Charge of an electron (1.602×10^{-19} C)
U	Photovoltaic voltage (V)

The engineering math model of PV cell in the practical application is expressed in Equ.(2)

$$I = I_{sc} \{1 - C_1 [\exp(\frac{U}{C_2 U_{oc}}) - 1]\} \quad (2)$$

Where, $C_1 = (1 - \frac{I_m}{I_{sc}}) \exp(\frac{-U_m}{C_2 U_{oc}})$,

$$C_2 = (\frac{U_m}{U_{oc}} - 1) [\ln(1 - \frac{I_m}{I_{sc}})]^{-1}$$

I_{sc}	Short-circuit current (A)
U_{oc}	Open-circuit voltage (V)
I_m	Current at the maximum power point (A)
U_m	Voltage at the maximum power point (V)

And the four technical parameters are provided in the standard condition by PV manufacturer.

3. ANALYSIS OF THE BOOST EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT

The solar inverter uses two-stage structure. The front-stage is Boost circuit, which realizes the functions of voltage-rise and MPPT. The back-stage is grid-connected inverter, which achieves the function of inverting-inversion and grid connection. The Boost schematic diagram is as below in Fig. 1




Fig. 1 Boost schematic diagram

According Fig. 1, the relation between output voltage U_{pv} and U_o is:

$$U_{pv} \cdot D \cdot T + (U_{pv} - U_o) \cdot (1 - D \cdot T) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Where, T is switching period of switching tube, D is duty cycle, and its interval is (0,1).

According to Equ. (3), the output voltage U_o can be expressed in Equ. (4):

$$U_o = \frac{1}{1-D} U_{pv} \quad (4)$$

Equivalent transformation is employed in the Boost circuit, Fig.1 is depicted as Fig. 2:




Fig. 2 Equivalent transformation of Boost circuit

Where, R_{pv} is the resistance of PV array. As shown in Fig.2, the equivalent resistance is deduced in Equ. (5) in accord with Thevenin's theorem:

$$R_{eq} = R_L \cdot (1 - D)^2 \quad (5)$$

Therefore the value of input resistant is adjusted by means of regulating duty cycle of Boost circuit. When equivalent input impedance R_{eq} is matched R_{pv} by adjusting duty cycle, the PV array outputs maximum power expressed in Equ.(6):

$$P_{max} = (\frac{U_{PV}}{R_{PV} + R_{eq}})^2 \cdot R_{eq} = \frac{U_{PV}^2}{4R_{eq}} \quad (6)$$

4. MPPT CONTROL SCHEME BASED ON GPC

GPC has the characteristics such as predictive model, rolling optimization and feedback correction on line etc [13, 14]. So GPC fuses the advantages of predictive control and self-adaptive control, and easily estimates online parameters and realizes self-adaptive control. By means of rolling optimization, identification and feedback correction, GPC can estimate online model parameters according to the actual continuous input and output information. What's more, it can correct the control law to improve the control performance in different external environment.

The PV MPPT scheme based on GPC in Boost model is shown in Fig. 3. In the MPPT module, the P&O method is adapt to output reference voltage U_{ref} by the detection of PV array voltage and current output, and the U_{ref} subtracts U_{pv} to get the dynamic voltage error U_{err} . GPC replaces traditional PID and regulate the error to output switch signal which is to control PWM module. Eventually, the output power of photovoltaic array is kept maximum according to the switching tube status controlled by PWM.




Fig. 3 PV MPPT control based on GPC in Boost modeling

5. GPC ALGORITHM IN MPPT STRATEGY

5.1 GPC structure

GPC algorithm structure is shown in Fig. (4). It takes the controlled auto-regressive moving average (CARIMA) as the predictive control model and describes the dynamic relation. Also, the identified model parameter and information are provided to the GPC optimization algorithm in real time.




Fig. 4 GPC algorithm structure

Then the reference voltage is calculated by the algorithm. $u(k)$ is input signal and equal to $U_{ref} - U_{pv}$ in the Boost circuit. $y(k)$ is output signal, and equal to V_{swt} which control PWM, $y_r(k)$ is set-error of $U_{ref} - U_{pv}$.

GPC control block diagram is shown in Fig. 5 [16]. It is composed of the process including softening, adjustment, and prediction. Note that the expected output vector is obtained after set-sequence is softened at every moment. Then the deviation vector is got after the expected output vector compared to the predictive output. The control increment $\Delta u(k)$ is got by dot product between deviation vector and dynamic vector g^T at the moment. On one hand, the control increment acts on control object by the calculation of digital integration. On the other hand, it predicts new system output f with the system output.




Fig. 5 GPC algorithm control block diagram

5.2 Prediction model

CARIMA applied in the minimum variance control is used to describe basic prediction model of system dynamic action. It has prediction function, and can predict future output according the history data and future input. The future system output is shown in Equ.(7):

$$A(q^{-1})y(k) = B(q^{-1})u(k-1) + \frac{C(q^{-1})\xi(k)}{\Delta} \quad (7)$$

Where, $A(q^{-1}) = 1 + a_1q^{-1} + L + a_nq^{-n}$,

$$B(q^{-1}) = b_0 + b_1q^{-1} + L + b_mq^{-m}.$$

Where, q^{-1} is backward operator, $y(k)q^{-1} = y(k-1)$, $\Delta = 1 - q^{-1}$ is difference operator. $\xi(k)$ is the single random noise sequence that mean value is 0. According to previous analysis, $u(k)$ and $y(k)$ is input and output of controlled object respectively. Note that $C(q^{-1}) = 1$, and n is order of input, and m is order of output.

The system model at the $k+j$ moment is

$$A(q^{-1})y(k+j) = B(q^{-1})u(k+j-1) + \frac{\xi(k+j)}{\Delta} \quad (8)$$

For $y(k+j)$ exists unknown information, the Diophantine equation is introduced and the output predicted value is obtained at the $k+j$ moment. The Diophantine equation is presented in Equ.(9)

$$1 = A(q^{-1})E_j(q^{-1})\Delta + q^{-j}F_j(q^{-1}) \quad (9)$$

Where, $E_j(q^{-1}) = e_{j,0} + e_{j,1}q^{-1} + \dots + e_{j,j-1}q^{-(j-1)}$,

$$F_j(q^{-1}) = f_{j,0} + f_{j,1}q^{-1} + L + f_{j,n}q^{-n}.$$

E_j and F_j is determined by both $A(q^{-1})$ and prediction length j . Then the simplified equation is got in Equ.(10):

$$y(k+j) = BE_j\Delta u(k+j-1) + F_jy(k) + E_j\xi(k+j) \quad (10)$$

Note that the future noise is unknown, but its mean is 0. So GPC prediction model at the $k+j$ moment is

$$y_m(k+j) = G_j\Delta u(k+j-1) + F_jy(k) \quad (11)$$

Where,

$$G_j = BE_j = \frac{B(1 - q^{-j}F_j)}{A\Delta} = g_{j,0} + g_{j,1}q^{-1} + L + g_{j,m+j-1}q^{-(m+j-1)}.$$

5.3 Rolling optimization

The optimization of prediction control is a kind of limited time domain rolling optimization, i.e., the optimized performance indicator involves the moment and a future limited time. Till the next moment the optimization period moves head. So the optimization is not conducted once time off line, but conducted continuously on line, that is so called rolling optimization.

GPC uses quadric performance indicator that the output error and the control increment are weighted:

$$\min J(k) = E\left\{\sum_{j=1}^P [y_m(k+j) - y_r(k+j)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda_j [\Delta u(k+j-1)]^2\right\} \quad (12)$$

Where, P is prediction time domain, M is control time domain, λ_j is control increment weighted parameter, which is set to constant commonly. $y_r(k+j)$ is reference trajectory. Therefore, the expected output takes the form of reference trajectory so that the controlled object output $y(k)$ follows a specified soft curve. Eventually, $y_r(k)$ reaches the target W smoothly.

$$\text{Define: } E_jB\Delta u(k+j-1) = G_{j1}\Delta u_1 + G_{j2}\Delta u_2 \quad (13)$$

Where, $G_{j1} = [g_{j,j-1} \ L \ g_{j,0}]$, $G_{j2} = [g_{j,j+m-1} \ L \ g_{j,j}]$,

$$\Delta u_1 = [\Delta u(k) \ L \ \Delta u(k+j-1)]^T,$$

$$\Delta u_2 = [\Delta u(k-m) \ L \ \Delta u(k-1)]^T.$$

According to Equ.(11) and (13), Equ.(14) is obtained:

$$y_m(k+j) = G_{j1}\Delta u_1 + G_{j2}\Delta u_2 + F_jy(k) \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Define: } G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} \\ G_{21} \\ M \\ G_{p1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & & & 0 \\ g_2 & g_1 & & \\ M & & & \\ g_{M-1} & g_{M-1} & 0 & g_1 \\ M & M & M & M \\ g_p & g_{p-1} & L & g_{p-M+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} G_{12}\Delta u_2 + F_1y(k) \\ G_{22}\Delta u_2 + F_2y(k) \\ M \\ G_{p2}\Delta u_2 + F_py(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering control time domain defined as M ,

Define:

$$U = \Delta u_1 = [\Delta u(k) \ L \ \Delta u(k+j-1)]^T = [\Delta u(k) \ L \ \Delta u(k+M-1)]^T$$

$$Y_m = [y_m(k+1) \ y_m(k+2) \ L \ y_m(k+P)]$$

Where, U is future controlled variable, Y_m is future output.

The model of prediction control is changed to vector form:

$$Y_m = GU + f \quad (15)$$

Also, Equ.(10) is changed to vector with Equ.(11) substituted into the equation, then Equ.(16) is got:

$$J = (GU + f - Y_r)^T (GU + f - Y_r) + U^T U \quad (16)$$

Calculate the derivative by the future variable U , set the results equals to 0, the following express is obtained:

$$U = (G^T G + \lambda I)^{-1} G^T (Y_r - f) \quad (17)$$

Where, $Y_r = [y_r(k+1) \ y_r(k+2) \ L \ y_r(k+P)]$

The optimal controlled instant variable is

$$\Delta u(k) = [1 \ 0 \ L \ 0] (G^T G + \lambda I)^{-1} G^T (Y_r - f) \quad (18)$$

Then, $u(k) = u(k-1) + \Delta u(k)$.

5.4 Online identification and feedback correction

The future control effect is determined by optimization. The prediction control only takes effect at this moment, till the next moment, the control system checks the output of object, and corrects the prediction model control by the previous output, then begins the new optimization.

GPC control algorithm uses one control model, and keeps the prediction correctness by online feedback.

$\Delta y(k)$ in Equ.(9) is changed to Equ.(19):

$$\Delta y(k) = (1 - A)y(k) + B\Delta u(k-1) + \xi(k) \quad (19)$$

And model parameter (A, B) in Equ.(19) and data parameter (y, u) is defined as vector θ_k and φ_k respectively.

$$\text{Where, } \theta_k = [a_1 \ L \ a_n \ | \ b_1 \ L \ b_n]$$

$$\varphi_k = [\Delta y(k-1)L \ -\Delta y(k-n) \ | \ -\Delta u(k-1)L \ -\Delta u(k-m)]^T$$

Therefore Equ.(16) is changed to :

$$\Delta y(k) = \varphi_k^T \theta_k + \varepsilon(k) \quad (20)$$

The recursive least-squares (RLS) method with forgetting factor is used to estimate model parameter θ_k :

$$\theta(k) = \theta(k-1) + K(k)[y(k) - \varphi^T(k)\theta(k-1)] \quad (21)$$

$$K(k) = P(k-1)\varphi(k)[\varphi^T(k)P(k-1)\varphi(k) + \mu]^{-1} \quad (22)$$

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{\mu} [I - K(k)\varphi^T(k)]P(k-1) \quad (23)$$

Where, μ is forgetting factor, usually, $0.95 < \mu \leq 1$, $K(k)$ is weight factor, $P(k)$ is positive definite covariance matrix. The initial value of parameter vector and covariance matrix should be set when to begin control, the common setting method is depicted in Equ.(24):

$$\begin{cases} P(0) = \alpha^2 I, & \alpha \text{ is sufficiently large real number} \\ \theta(0) = \varepsilon, & \varepsilon \text{ is sufficiently small real vector} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

In conclusion, The online operation step of GPC algorithm is as below:

- (1) The parameter n, m, P, M, λ, μ and α is given in according with controlled object and control request of the Boost circuit.
- (2) Set $P(0)$ and $\theta(0)$ initial value, input preliminary data.
- (3) Use RLS to estimate the model parameter $A(q^{-1})$ and $B(q^{-1})$, solve recursively Diophantine equation and get F_j and G_j .
- (4) Construct vector matrix G and f .
- (5) Calculate and perform control $u(k)$ according to Equ.(18).
- (6) Continue to circulation back to step (3).

6. EXPERIMENT AND ANALYSIS

6.1 PV array MPPT simulation and analysis at different time


The photovoltaic Boost modeling is depicted in Fig. 6, the MPPT algorithm based on GPC is simulated by Simulink. The simulation parameters are as below:

$U_{oc} = 22V, I_{sc} = 8.58A, U_m = 17.7V, I_m = 7.94A, P_{max}$ is about 140W.


Comprehensive considering MPPT control effect after simulating some times, the parameters in GPC algorithm are given as below:

Calculation time period is $500\mu s$, control time domain $P = 8$, prediction time domain $M = 4$, weighted parameter $\lambda = 1.2$, input order $n = 2$, output order $m = 3$, forgetting factor $\beta = 0.99$.

Suppose the solar irradiation is $600W/m^2$, temperature is $20^\circ C$. Note that the methods of MPPT are compared between PID and GPC, the P_{out} output waves are shown in Fig.6 (a), (b) according to different control methods:




(a) P_{out} by the method of PID




(b) P_{out} by the method of GPC

Fig. 6 MPPT control simulation when irradiation is $600W/m^2$

Suppose the solar irradiation is $1000W/m^2$, temperature is $25^\circ C$, the simulation figures are shown in Fig.8 (a) (b) based on the method of PID and GPC:



(a) P_{out} by the method of PID




(b) P_{out} by the method of GPC

Fig. 7 MPPT control simulation when irradiation is 1000W/m^2


From Fig.7, it is concluded that the method on GPC is more accurate and faster to track the maximum power point than the method on PID. So GPC method decreases the loss and improves control stability and rapidity compared to PID method.

6.2 MPPT simulation in the abrupt change of solar irradiation

The simulation is carried out to test the adaptive ability and dynamic response ability of MPPT algorithm by sudden change of solar irradiation. Note that the solar irradiation is separately set to 1000 W/m^2 in $0\sim 0.2\text{s}$, 600 W/m^2 in $0.2\sim 0.4\text{s}$, and 800 W/m^2 in $0.4\sim 0.6\text{s}$. The MPPT simulation figures are shown in Fig. 8 (a), (b) by the methods of PID and GPC.



(a) P_{out} by the method of PID



(b) P_{out} by the method of GPC

Fig. 8 MPPT simulation in the abrupt change of solar irradiation

It is observed that the solar irradiation occurs sudden change at 0.2s and 0.4s from the dynamic response curve in Fig.8. The method based on GPC can adjust maximum power point rapidly, and keep PV array output maximum power. GPC has better dynamic and stability feature, and improves control performance facing to various external environment at the comparison of PID. What's more, the GPC algorithm can keep high efficiency to track maximum power point and operate in the optimal status.

7. CONCLUSION

Aiming at the existing deviation of PV array maximum power point in different external environment, MPPT improved strategy based on GPC is proposed. The model of PV MPPT control based on GPC in Boost is made. Then the control express is deduced, the algorithm step is given as well. Next, the simulations between methods of GPC and PID control are given in different time and in the state of abrupt solar change respectively. The results indicate that GPC method shortens transient time clearly and increases disturbance-resisting ability, so the method improves the solar generation efficiency at the comparison of PID. Hence, the MPPT algorithm based on GPC provides a new strategy to solar generation research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author confirms that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (51477070)

Supported by Changzhou Key Laboratory of The Internet of Things New Technology and Application (CM20133008)

Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu University of Technology (KYY14001)

Supported by A Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD)

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Sundareswaran, S. Peddapati, S. Palani, "MPPT of PV Systems Under Partial Shaded Conditions Through a Colony of Flashing Fireflies", IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol.29, pp. 463-472, Feb. 2014.
- [2] E. Mamarelis, G. Petrone, G. Spagnuolo, "Design of a Sliding-Mode Controlled SEPIC for PV MPPT Applications", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 61, pp. 3387-3398, Jul. 2014.
- [3] G. C. Hsieh, C. Y. Tsai, C.H. Wang, "Photovoltaic Power-Increment B Aided Incremental-Conductance MPPT With Two-Phased Tracking", IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 28, pp. 2895-2911, Jun. 2013.
- [4] G. C. Hsieh, H.I. Hsieh, C. Y. Tsai, C. H. Wang, "Photovoltaic Power Increment-Aided Incremental-Conductance MPPT With Two-

- Phased Tracking”, IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 28, pp. 2895–2911 Jun. 2013.
- [5] Y. C. Jiang, J. A. A. Qahouq, T. A. Haskew, “Adaptive Step Size With Adaptive-Perturbation-Frequency Digital MPPT Controller for a Single-Sensor”, IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 28, pp. 3195–3205, Jul. 2014.
- [6] K. J. Lee, R. Y. Kim, “An Adaptive Maximum Power Point Tracking Scheme Based on a Variable Scaling Factor for Photovoltaic”, IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 27, pp. 1002–1008, Apr. 2012.
- [7] Y. A. Chen, J. H. Zhou, J. Li, et al. “Application of Gradient Variable Step Size MPPT Algorithm in Photovoltaic System”, Proceedings of the CSEE, vol. 34, pp. 3156–3161, Jul. 2014.
- [8] S. K. Kollimalla, M. K. Mishra, “A Novel Adaptive P&O MPPT Algorithm Considering Sudden Changes in the Irradiance”, IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 29, pp. 602–610, Mar. 2014.
- [9] S. J. Liu, S. X. Zhuang, X. Chen, “Research on the Control Method of Current Disturbance Observers for Photovoltaic Grid-connected Inverters”, Proceedings of the CSEE, vol. 33, pp. 5811–5818, Nov. 2014.
- [10] D. Sera, L. Mathe, T. Kerekes, et al. “On the Perturb-and-Observe and Incremental Conductance MPPT Methods for PV Systems”, IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics, vol. 3, pp. 1070–1078, Mar. 2013.
- [11] M. A. G. de Brito, L. Galotto, L. P. Sampaio, et al, “Evaluation of the Main MPPT Techniques for Photovoltaic Applications”, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 60, pp. 1156–1167, Mar. 2013.
- [12] H. B. Wang, J. H. He, “Application of conjugate gradient method in the photovoltaic system”, Journal of Tsinghua University (Science and Technology), vol. 53, pp. 269–273, Feb. 2014
- [13] F. Xu, X. L. Wei, L. H. Ren, et al, “A Control Structure Selection Method Based on Multivariable Generalized Predictive Control for Unstable Processes”, ACTA AUTOMATICA SINICA, vol. 39, pp. 1547–1551, Sep. 2013.
- [14] W. G. Shi, C. Shao, Z. Y. Sun, “Improved GPC network-control algorithm based on AR model time-delay prediction”, Control and Decision, vol. 27, pp. 477–480. Mar. 2012
- [15] Y. M. Li, Z. Y. Hua, Y. Q. Chen, “The Application of GPC Algorithm to the Air-Fuel Ratio Control of Engine”, Automotive Engineering, vol. 35, pp. 403–407, May. 2013
- [16] J. Sun, H. Y. Zhang, X. Li, et al. “Generalized predictive control scheme for monitor AGC system”, Journal of Central South University (Science and Technology), vol. 43, pp. 3852–3856, Oct. 2012.