

# Two-Weight Orlicz Type Integral Inequalities for the Maximal Operator<sup>1</sup>

C.J. Neugebauer\*

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, in 47907-1395, USA

**Abstract:** We present a two-weight Orlicz-type integral inequality for the maximal operator which characterizes  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

**Keywords:** Maximal operator, two-weights.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will study integral inequalities of the type

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)^p)u(x)dx \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2 |f(x)|^p)v(x)dx, \quad (1)$$

where  $Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(t)| dt$  is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, and we ask for conditions on  $\Phi, \Psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that (1) holds if and only if  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

We say that  $(u, v) \in A_p$  if  $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leq c < \infty, 1 < p < \infty$ ,

and  $Mu(x) \leq cv(x)$ , if  $p=1$ . These weight classes were introduced by Muckenhoupt [4] and Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [5] to study (1) when  $\Phi(t) = \Psi(t) = t$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $u = v \in A_p$ , (1) holds for  $\Phi(t) = \Psi(t) = t$ , but not if  $p=1$ . Also for each  $1 \leq p < \infty$  there exists a pair  $(u, v) \in A_p$  so that (1) fails in the special case  $\Phi(t) = \Psi(t) = t$  [3, p. 395]. In these exceptional cases we have a weak type inequality. An excellent reference is the book by J.Garcia-Cuerva and J.L.Rubio de Francia [3]. We refer the reader interested in the current state of the two-weight theory to the recent book [1] by Cruz-Urbe, Martell, and Pérez.

The restrictions on  $\Phi, \Psi$  are:  $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds, \Psi(t) = \int_0^t b(s)ds$  with  $a, b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \leq c'b(c''s), 0 < s < \infty. \quad (*)$$

Note that this excludes the classical case  $\Phi(t) = \Psi(t) = t$ . If (\*) holds, we say that  $\Phi, \Psi$  are  $(c', c'')$ -related.

\*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, in 47907-1395, USA; E-mail: neug@math.purdue.edu

<sup>1</sup>1991 Mathematics Subject Classification, 42B25, 42B35.

We are now ready to state our main result whose proof will be given in section 3.

**Theorem 1** The following statements are equivalent for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

(2) For each  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  which are  $(c', c'')$ -related, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^p)u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2 |f|^p)v,$$

for all  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where the constants  $c_1, c_2$  depend only on  $c', c''$  and  $p$ .

(3) We have  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

**Remark:** In the Lebesgue measure case -  $u = v = 1$  - integral inequalities related to (2) can be found in [6]. It should be noted that  $p=1$  is not excluded.

In section 4 we will examine in what sense the condition  $\int_0^t \frac{a(s)}{s} ds \leq c'b(c''t)$  is also necessary for Theorem 1, and in section 5 we will examine the extrapolation problem: when is it possible to replace  $p$  by  $p-\varepsilon$  in (2). In sections 6 and 7 we will study the iterated maximal operator and its relation to extrapolation. In section 8 we will collect some unusual and surprising integral inequalities for  $Mf$  obtained by choosing  $\Phi, \Psi$  and applying Theorem 1.

A final comment is in order. I have dedicated this paper to the memory of Richard A. Hunt who made significant contributions to the theory of  $A_p$ -weights and to whom I am indebted for introducing me to this subject some 40 years ago.

## 2. A TWO-WEIGHT DISTRIBUTIONAL INEQUALITY

For convenience all our functions will be non-negative:  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ .

The distributional inequality below for  $u = v = 1$  - the Lebesgue measure case - and a sublinear operator  $\tau$  instead of  $M$  is equivalent with saying that  $\tau$  is both weak-type  $(p, p)$  and of type  $(\infty, \infty)$  [11, p. 103].

**Theorem 2** The following statements are equivalent for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

(4) There exists  $0 < c_0 < \infty$  such that for every  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  we have for  $0 < t < \infty$

$$u\{x : Mf(x) > t\} \leq \frac{c_0}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^{\infty} v\{x : f(x) > s\} s^{p-1} ds.$$

(5) We have  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

*Proof.* Apart from a minor detail, the proof follows the standard covering argument and we include it for the benefit of the reader.

(5)  $\rightarrow$  (4). We may assume that  $M$  is the centered maximal operator

$$Mf(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(t) dt,$$

where the  $\sup$  is extended over all cubes  $Q$  centered at  $x$ . We consider the case  $1 < p < \infty$  first. Fix  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , and for  $0 < t < \infty$  let  $f = f^t + f_t$ , where

$$f^t(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & f(x) \leq t/2 \\ f(x), & f(x) > t/2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $Mf(x) \leq Mf^t(x) + Mf_t(x)$  so that  $\{Mf > t\} \subset \{Mf^t > t/2\} \cup \{Mf_t > t/2\} \equiv E_t$ . Let  $E_{tN} = E_t \cap \{x : |x| \leq N\}$ . We can now apply the Besicovitch covering Theorem and obtain cubes  $\{Q_j\}$  satisfying

$$E_{tN} \subset \cup Q_j, |Q_j| \leq \frac{2}{t} \int_{Q_j} f^t, \sum \chi_{Q_j} \leq c < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(E_{tN}) &\leq \sum u(Q_j) \leq \frac{c}{t^p} \sum \frac{u(Q_j)}{|Q_j|^p} \left( \int_{Q_j} f^t v^{1/p} v^{-1/p} \right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t^p} \sum \frac{u(Q_j)}{|Q_j|^p} \int_{Q_j} (f^t)^p v. \left( \int_{Q_j} v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t^p} \int_{\{f \geq t/2\}} f^p v. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A_t = \{x : f(x) \geq t/2\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u(E_{tN}) &\leq \frac{c}{t^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f \chi_{A_t})^p v = \frac{c}{t^p} \int_0^{\infty} v\{f \chi_{A_t} > s\} s^{p-1} ds \\ &= \frac{c}{t^p} \left( \int_{t/2}^{\infty} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds + v(A_t) \int_0^{t/2} s^{p-1} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for some constant  $c$

$$c \int_{t/4}^{t/2} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds \geq v(A_t) \int_0^{t/2} s^{p-1} ds,$$

and hence for some constant  $c_0$

$$u(E_{tN}) \leq \frac{c_0}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^{\infty} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds.$$

Let now  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We use the same notation for the case  $p=1$  as above. Since now  $u(Q_j)/|Q_j| \leq \inf_{Q_j} v$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(E_{tN}) &\leq \frac{c}{t} \sum \frac{u(Q_j)}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} f^t \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t} \sum \int_{Q_j} f^t v \leq \frac{c}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \chi_{A_t} v. \end{aligned}$$

Proceed now as in the case  $1 < p < \infty$ .

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (5). For the case  $p=1$  we fix a cube  $Q_0$  and let  $f = \chi_Q$ , where  $Q$  is an arbitrary subcube of  $Q_0$ . Then

$$Q_0 \subset \left\{ Mf \geq \frac{1}{|Q_0|} \int_Q f = \frac{|Q|}{|Q_0|} \equiv t \right\}.$$

Thus  $u(Q_0) \leq c_0 (|Q_0|/|Q|) v(Q)$ , and thus  $u(Q_0)/|Q_0| \leq c_0 \inf_{Q_0} v$ .

If  $1 < p < \infty$  we take the usual test function  $f = \chi_Q v^{1-p'}$  with

$$t = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(Q) &\leq c_0 \frac{|Q|^p}{\left( \int_Q f \right)^p} \int_{t/c_0}^{\infty} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds \\ &\leq c_0 \frac{|Q|^p}{\left( \int_Q f \right)^p} \int_Q f^p v \\ &= c_0 |Q|^p \left( \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

and the  $A_p$ -condition follows.

**3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2).**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi[Mf(x)^p] u(x) dx &= \int_0^{\infty} u\{Mf^p > t\} a(t) dt = \\ \int_0^{\infty} u\{Mf > t^{1/p}\} a(t) dt &\leq c_0 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t^{1/p}/c_0}^{\infty} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds a(t) dt = \\ c_0 \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{(c_0 s)^p} \frac{a(t)}{t} v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} dt ds &\leq \\ c_0 c' \int_0^{\infty} b(c''(c_0 s)^p) v\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds &= \\ \frac{c_0 c'}{p} \int_0^{\infty} b(c_* t) v\{f^p > t\} dt &\leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi[c_2 f(x)^p] v(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  have the desired properties.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). We assume that

$$L \equiv \int_0^\infty u\{Mf^p > t\}a(t)dt \leq c_1 \int_0^\infty v\{c_2f^p > t\}b(t)dt \equiv R.$$

Fix  $0 < \lambda_0 < \infty$  and let

$$a(t) = h\chi_{[\lambda_0, \lambda_0+h]}(t).$$

Set

$$b(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds = 0, 0 \leq t \leq \lambda_0; h \log(t/\lambda_0), \lambda_0 < t \leq \lambda_0 + h; h \log \lambda_0 + h, t > \lambda_0 + h.$$

With this choice  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are (1,1)-related independent of  $h$  and  $\lambda_0$  and hence  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  do not depend on  $h$  or  $\lambda_0$ . Then

$$L = h \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0+h} u\{Mf^p > t\}dt \rightarrow u\{Mf^p > \lambda_0\},$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . The right side  $R$  is

$$R = c_1 h \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0+h} v\{c_2 f^p > t\} \log(t/\lambda_0) dt + c_1 h \log \lambda_0 + h \lambda_0$$

$$\int_{\lambda_0+h}^\infty v\{c_2 f^p > t\} dt = I_1(h) + I_2(h).$$

We see that  $I_1(h) \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and

$$I_2(h) \rightarrow c_1 \lambda_0 \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty v\{c_2 f^p > t\} dt = c_1 c_2 \lambda_0 \int_{\lambda_0/c_2}^\infty v\{f^p > t\} dt.$$

Since  $\lambda_0$  was arbitrary we get for some constant  $c_0 > 1$

$$u\{Mf^p > \lambda\} \leq c_0 \lambda \int_{\lambda/c_0}^\infty v\{f^p > t\} dt.$$

We now make the substitution  $\lambda = s^p$  and then  $t \rightarrow t^p$  to get

$$u\{Mf > s\} \leq c_0 s^p \int_{s/c_0}^\infty v\{f > t\} t^{p-1} dt.$$

By Theorem 2 this is the same as saying  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

**Remark.** Theorem 1 is not true with  $M$  replaced by a singular integral operator  $T$ . If it were true, then the argument as on the previous page shows that

$$u\{|Tf| > s\} \leq c_0 s^p \int_{s/c_0}^\infty v\{f > t\} t^{p-1} dt,$$

and hence for  $s > c_0 \|f\|_{b_0, v}$ ,  $u\{|Tf| > s\} = 0$  and  $\|Tf\|_{b_0, u} < \infty$ . But  $T$  is not of type  $(\infty, \infty)$  [10].

#### 4. A CONVERSE

For a given  $a, b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds$ ,  $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t b(s)ds$  we wish to examine when (2) of Theorem 1 implies that

$$\int_0^s a(t)dt \leq c' b(c''s), 0 < s < \infty.$$

Since this condition is independent of  $(u, v) \in A_p$ , we are allowed to take any  $(u, v) \in A_p$ , in particular  $u = v = 1$ , the Lebesgue measure case, or  $u = v$  in  $RH_\infty$ . We prefer the second alternative since it is based on an extension of the reverse weak type inequality. We say that  $u \in RH_\infty$  if for every cube  $Q$ ,  $\sup_Q u(x) \leq c|Q| \int_Q u$ . The inf of all such  $c$ 's is called the  $RH_\infty$ -constant of  $u$ . This class was studied in [2] and plays roughly the same role among the reverse Hölder classes  $RH_{r, r \rightarrow \infty}$ , as  $A_1$  does among  $A_p$ ,  $p \searrow 1$ . Typical examples of  $RH_\infty$ -weights in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  are  $u(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $u \in RH_\infty$ . Then there are constants  $0 < c_1, c' < \infty$  such that for all  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $0 < t < \infty$

$$t \int_{\{f>t\}} f(x)u(x)dx \leq c_1 u\{Mf > c't\},$$

where  $1/c' = c_*$  is the  $RH_\infty$ -constant of  $u$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u(x)dx$  is a doubling measure [3], we have available the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition at height  $t$  and this gives us disjoint cubes  $\{Q_k\}$  such that

$$t \leq t u(Q_k) \int_{Q_k} f u \leq ct$$

$$f(x) \leq t, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup Q_k.$$

Then

$$t \int_{\{f>t\}} f u \leq t \sum \int_{Q_k} f u \leq c \sum u(Q_k) = c u(\cup Q_k) \leq c u\{M_u f > t\},$$

where  $M_u f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} t u(Q) \int_Q f u$ . Since  $u \in RH_\infty$

$$t u(Q) \int_Q f u \leq \sup_Q u(Q) / |Q| |Q| \int_Q f \leq c_* M f(x),$$

if  $x \in Q$ . Hence  $m_u f(x) \leq c_* M f(x)$  and the proof is complete.

**Defintion.** (1)  $b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is quasi-increasing (qi) if there is a constant  $0 < c_0 < \infty$  such that  $t' \leq t''$  implies  $b(t') \leq c_0 b(c_0 t'')$ .

(2) A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is weakly doubling if there is a constant  $0 < c < \infty$  such that  $\mu([0, 2d]) \leq c \mu([d, 2d]), 0 < d < \infty$ .

If a measure is doubling, it is also weakly doubling. The converse is not true as the measure  $d\mu = e^x dx$  shows. In fact if

$f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is nondecreasing, then  $d\mu = f(x)dx$  is weakly doubling. The measure  $d\mu = dx/(1+x)$  is not weakly doubling.

**Theorem 4** Assume that  $b(t)$  is  $q_i$  and assume that for some  $n$  and  $u_0 \in RH_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^P)u_0 \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2f^P)u_0.$$

Then

$$\int_0^s a(t)tdt \leq c'b(c''s), 0 < s < \infty$$

holds if  $p=1$ , and if  $1 < p < \infty$  it holds under the additional assumption that the measure  $d\mu = a(t)tdt$  is weakly doubling.

*Proof.* In distributional form the integral inequality is

$$L \equiv \int_0^\infty u_0\{Mf^P > t\}a(t)dt \leq c_1 \int_0^\infty u_0\{c_2f^P > t\}b(t)dt \equiv R.$$

The constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  appearing below only depend upon the constants in the overall hypothesis. By Lemma 3

$$L \geq c_3 \int_0^\infty a(t)t^{1/p} \int_{\{f > c_4t^{1/p}\}} f(x)u_0(x)dxdt.$$

We apply this to the test functions  $f(x) = r\chi_Q(x)$ ,  $0 < r < \infty$ ,  $Q = [0,1]^n$  and get

$$L \geq c_3 \int_0^{c_5r^P} a(t)t^{1/p}ru_1dt, u_1 = \int_Q u_0(x)dx.$$

The right side  $R = \int_0^{c_6r^P} u_1b(t)dt$ . Hence

$$c_3r \int_0^{c_5r^P} a(t)t^{1/p}dt \leq c_1 \int_0^{c_6r^P} b(t)dt.$$

With  $s = c_5r^P$  this becomes

$$c_7s^{1/p} \int_0^s a(t)t^{1/p}dt \leq c_1 \int_0^{c_8s} b(t)dt \leq c_0sb(c_10s),$$

since  $b$  is quasi-increasing. The left side is

$$\geq c_7s^{1/p} \int_{s/2}^s t^{1/p'}a(t)tdt \geq c_1 \int_{s/2}^s a(t)tdt,$$

by the weak type doubling condition, which clearly is not needed when  $p=1$ .

**Remark:** 1. The special case  $p=1$  and  $u_0 \sim 1$  - the Lebesgue measure case - is Theorem 7 in [6].

2. The weak doubling hypothesis of the measure  $d\mu = a(t)tdt$  cannot be omitted if  $1 < p < \infty$ . The classical norm inequality for  $u \in A_p$  is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf^Pu \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^Pu.$$

This is the  $\Phi(t) = \Psi(t) = t$  case, and  $a(t) = 1$ .

### 5. EXTRAPOLATION

As before  $a, b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\Phi(s) = \int_0^s a(t)dt$ ,  $\Psi(s) = \int_0^s b(t)dt$ .

We wish to examine the relationship between the following statements.

**I.** There exists  $0 < \varepsilon < p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , such that for  $(u, v) \in A_p$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^{p-\varepsilon})u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2f^{p-\varepsilon})v.$$

**II.** There exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^s a(t)t^{1+\eta}dt \leq c'b(c''s)s^\eta, 0 < s < \infty.$$

The constants  $\varepsilon, \eta$ , and  $p$  are related by  $\varepsilon = \eta p / (1 + \eta)$  or  $\eta = \varepsilon(p - \varepsilon)$ .

**Theorem 5**  $II \Rightarrow I$ , and, if  $b$  is quasi-increasing and  $u = v = 1$ , the converse  $I \Rightarrow II$  holds if  $p=1$ , and if  $1 < p < \infty$  it holds if the measure  $d\mu = a(t)t^{1+\eta}dt$  is weakly doubling.

*Proof.*  $II \Rightarrow I$ . Fix  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $\varepsilon = \eta p / (1 + \eta)$ . If  $q = p - \varepsilon$ , then  $p/q = 1 + \eta$ . By Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^q)u &\leq c_0 \int_0^\infty a(t)t^{1+\eta} \int_{1^{1/q}/c_0}^\infty v\{f > s\}s^{p-1}dsdt = c_0 \int_0^\infty \int_0^{(c_0s)^q} \\ a(t)t^{1+\eta}dt v\{f > s\}s^{p-1}ds &\leq c \int_0^\infty b[(c_0s)^q](c_0s)^{q\eta} v\{f > s\}s^{p-1}ds = c \int_0^\infty \\ b(\sigma)\sigma^\eta v\{f > \sigma^{1/q}\}\sigma^{(p-1)q} \sigma^{1/q-1}d\sigma &= c \int_0^\infty v\{f > \sigma^{1/q}\}b(\sigma)d\sigma = c_1 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2f^q)v. \end{aligned}$$

$I \Rightarrow II$ . First let  $p=1$  and  $u = v = 1$ . If  $q = 1 - \varepsilon$ , then the statement  $I$  in distributional form is

$$L = \int_0^\infty |\{Mf > t^{1/q}\}| a(t)dt \leq c_1 \int_0^\infty |\{f > c_3t^{1/q}\}| b(t)dt = R.$$

By Lemma 3,

$$L \geq c_4 \int_0^\infty a(t)t^{1/q} \int_{\{f > c_5t^{1/q}\}} f(x)dxdt.$$

We apply this to the test functions  $f(x) = r\chi_{[0,1]}(x)$ ,  $0 < r < \infty$ . Then

$$L \geq c_4 \int_0^{c_6r^q} ra(t)t^{1/q}dt, R = \int_0^{c_7r^q} b(t)dt \leq c_8r^qb(c_9r^q),$$

because  $b$  is quasi-increasing. Hence

$$\int_0^{c_6r^q} a(t)t^{1/q}dt \leq c_10r^{q-1}b(c_9r^q).$$

Let  $s = c_6r^q$  and  $1/q = 1 + \eta$ . Then  $\eta = \varepsilon / (1 - \varepsilon)$  and

$$\int_0^s a(t)t^{1+\eta}dt \leq c_1s^{(q-1)/q}b(c_12s),$$

and  $(q-1)/q = -\eta$ .

The case  $1 < p < \infty$  with  $q = p - \varepsilon$ , and  $u = v = 1$  follows the same steps as above and we get

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/q}} dt \leq c_1 s^{(q-1)/q} b(c_1 2s).$$

We use now the weak doubling condition and get

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/q}} dt = \int_0^s \frac{a(t)t^{1+\eta-1/q}}{t^{1+\eta}} \geq c_1 3^{1+\eta-1/q} \int_{s/2}^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \geq c_1 4^{1+\eta-1/q} \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq c_1 5 b(c_1 2s) / s^\eta.$$

The result that we discuss now essentially says that, in the presence of condition II, extrapolation for  $(u, v)$  is the same as  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

**Theorem 6** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty, \eta \geq 0, \varepsilon = \eta p / (1 + \eta)$ , and

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq \frac{c'b(c''s)}{s^\eta}, 0 < s < \infty.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^{p-\varepsilon})u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon})v, \tag{2}$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  depend only upon  $c', c''$  and  $p$ .

(2) We have  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

**Remark:** Theorem 1 is the special case  $\eta = 0$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This is  $\Pi \Rightarrow I$  of Theorem 5. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 and let

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{h} \chi_{[\lambda, \lambda+h]}(t), \lambda > 0, h > 0.$$

We let  $b(s) = s^\eta \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt$ . We may assume that  $\eta > 0$  since the case  $\eta = 0$  is Theorem 1. Then

$$b(s) = 0, 0 \leq s \leq \lambda; \frac{(s/\lambda)^\eta - 1}{h\eta}, \lambda \leq s \leq \lambda + h; \frac{(s/\lambda)^\eta - (s/(\lambda+h))^\eta}{h\eta}, s \geq \lambda + h.$$

Our hypothesis in distributional form is

$$L_h \equiv \int_0^\infty u\{Mf^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}a(t)dt \leq c_1 \int_\lambda^\infty v\{c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}b(t)dt \equiv R_h.$$

First

$$L_h = \frac{1}{h} \int_\lambda^{\lambda+h} u\{Mf^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}dt \rightarrow u\{Mf^{p-\varepsilon} > \lambda\},$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . The right side  $R_h$  splits into two integrals

$$R_h = c_1 \left( \int_\lambda^{\lambda+h} + \int_{\lambda+h}^\infty \right) = I_1 + I_2.$$

$I_1$  is easily disposed of

$$I_1 = c_1 \int_\lambda^{\lambda+h} \frac{(t/\lambda)^\eta - 1}{h\eta} v\{c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}dt \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Next

$$I_2 = c_1 \frac{\lambda^{-\eta} - (\lambda+h)^{-\eta}}{h\eta} \int_{\lambda+h}^\infty v\{c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}t^\eta dt \rightarrow \frac{c_1}{\lambda^{\eta+1}} \int_\lambda^\infty v\{c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon} > t\}t^\eta dt,$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . The substitution  $\tau = t^{\eta+1}$  gives

$$I_2 \rightarrow \frac{c_3}{\lambda^{\eta+1}} \int_{\lambda^{\eta+1}}^\infty v\{c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon} > \tau^{1/(\eta+1)}\}d\tau,$$

and since  $(p-\varepsilon)(\eta+1) = p(\eta+1) - p\eta = p$ ,

$$I_2 \rightarrow \frac{c_3}{\lambda^{\eta+1}} \int_{\lambda^{\eta+1}}^\infty v\{c_4 f^p > \tau\}d\tau.$$

Hence for some constant  $c_0 > 1$

$$u\{Mf^{p-\varepsilon} > \lambda\} \leq \frac{c_0}{\lambda^{\eta+1}} \int_{\lambda^{\eta+1}/c_0}^\infty v\{f^p > t\}dt.$$

With  $\lambda = \sigma^{p-\varepsilon}$  we get

$$u\{Mf > \sigma\} \leq \frac{c_0}{\sigma^p} \int_{\sigma^p/c_0}^\infty v\{f^p > t\}dt = \frac{c_0'}{\sigma^p} \int_{\sigma/c_0'}^\infty v\{f > t\}t^{p-1}dt.$$

This shows that  $(u, v) \in A_p$  by Theorem 2.

**Remark:** The following observation may be of interest in connection with condition II: if  $\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \leq c_0 a(s)$ , then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq c \frac{a(s)}{s^\eta},$$

and hence Theorem 5 about extrapolation applies.

*Proof.* By hypothesis

$$L \equiv \int_0^{s_1} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt ds \leq c_0 \int_0^{s_1} a(s) ds.$$

Also

$$L = \int_0^{s_1} \int_t^{s_1} \frac{a(t)}{ts} ds dt = \int_0^{s_1} \frac{a(t)}{t} \log \frac{s_1}{t} dt \leq c_0^2 a(s_1).$$

We repeat this argument and finally get

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} \frac{1}{j!} \log^j \frac{s}{t} dt \leq c_0^{j+1} a(s).$$

Let  $c_1 > c_0$ . Then

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{1}{c_1^j} \log^j \frac{s}{t} dt \leq ca(s),$$

and the sum  $= (s/t)^\eta$  with  $\eta = 1/c_1$ .

6. ITERATED MAXIMAL OPERATOR. LET

$$M_j f(x) = \underbrace{M \circ M \circ \dots \circ M}_j f(x).$$

The purpose of this section is to present some weighted integral inequalities involving  $M_j f$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $u \in A_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , and assume that  $a, b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfy

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} \log^{j-1}(s/t) dt \leq c' b(c''s).$$

Then, if  $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s) ds, \Psi(t) = \int_0^t b(s) ds$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_j f^p) u \leq c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j f^p) u.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} u\{M_j f > t\} &\leq \frac{c_0}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^\infty u\{M_{j-1} f > s_1\} s_1^{p-1} ds_1 \leq \frac{c_0^2}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^\infty \frac{s_1^{p-1}}{s_1^p} \int_{s_1/c_0}^\infty \\ u\{M_{j-2} f > s_2\} s_2^{p-1} ds_2 ds_1 &= \frac{c_0^2}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^\infty \int_{t/c_0}^{c_0 s_2} \frac{ds_1}{s_1} u\{M_{j-2} f > s_2\} s_2^{p-1} ds_2 \\ &= \frac{c_0^2}{t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^\infty \log \frac{c_0^2 s_2}{t} u\{M_{j-2} f > s_2\} s_2^{p-1} ds_2 \leq \dots \leq \frac{c_0^j}{(j-1)! t^p} \int_{t/c_0}^\infty \\ \log^{j-1} \frac{c_0^j s}{t} u\{f > s\} s^{p-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

The left side of the conclusion is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty u\{M_j f > t^{1/p}\} a(t) dt &\leq \frac{c_0^j}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t} \int_{t^{1/p}/c_0}^\infty \log^{j-1} \frac{c_0^j s}{t^{1/p}} u\{f > \\ s\} s^{p-1} ds dt &= \frac{c_0^j}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t} \int_{t/c_0^p}^\infty \log^{j-1} \{c_0^j (\sigma/t)^{1/p}\} u\{f > \sigma^{1/p}\} d\sigma dt = \\ \frac{cc_0^j}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{c_0^p \sigma} \frac{a(t)}{t} \log^{j-1} \{c_0^j \sigma/t\} dt u\{f > \sigma^{1/p}\} d\sigma &= \frac{cc_0^j}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty \\ b(c'' c_0^p \sigma) u\{f > \sigma^{1/p}\} d\sigma &\leq c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j f^p) u. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark:** (1) The  $\log$  term in the hypothesis of Theorem 7 can be omitted if  $u \sim 1$ , the Lebesgue measure case and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The operator  $M_j f$  is weak  $(p, p)$  and  $(\infty, \infty)$  and hence by [11, p. 103]

$$|\{M_j f > t\}| \leq \frac{c_j}{t^p} \int_{t/c_j}^\infty |f > s| s^{p-1} ds.$$

>From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_j f(x)^p) dx &= \int_0^\infty |\{M_j f > t^{1/p}\}| a(t) dt \leq c_j \int_0^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t} \int_{t^{1/p}/c_j}^\infty \\ |f > s| s^{p-1} ds dt &= c_j \int_0^\infty \int_0^{(c_j s)^p} \frac{a(t)}{t} |f > s| s^{p-1} dt ds = c_1 \int_0^\infty b(c_2 s^p) \\ |f > s| s^{p-1} ds &= c_3 \int_0^\infty b(c_2 t) |\{f^p > t\}| dt = c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j f(x)^p) dx. \end{aligned}$$

(2) There is a converse to the above. If  $b$  is  $q_i$ , the integral inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_j f(x)^p) dx \leq c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j f(x)^p) dx$$

implies

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \leq c' b(c''s), 0 < s < \infty,$$

if  $p=1$ , and if  $p>1$  this holds if the measure  $d\mu = \frac{a(t)}{t} dt$  is weakly doubling. This follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_f(x)^p) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_j f(x)^p) dx \leq c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j f(x)^p) dx,$$

and Theorem 4 applies.

7. THE ITERATED MAX OPERATOR AND EXTRAPOLATION

There is a connection between the behavior of  $M_j f$  and extrapolation [7-9]. The next two Theorems will explore this connection in our setting. Again let  $a, b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and let  $\Phi(s) = \int_0^s a(t) dt, \Psi(s) = \int_0^s b(t) dt$  with  $b$  quasi-increasing.

**Theorem 8** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and assume that for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(M_j f(x)^p) dx \leq A^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(c_2 f(x)^p) dx,$$

with  $c_2$  independent of  $j$ . Let  $A < c_* < \infty$  and let  $\eta = 1/(c_* p)$ . If in the case  $1 < p$  the measure  $d\mu = \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt$  is weakly doubling,

then for  $(u, v) \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_f^{p-\varepsilon}) u \leq c_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_l f^{p-\varepsilon}) v,$$

where  $\varepsilon = \eta p/(1+\eta)$ .

*Proof.* Our goal is to prove

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq \frac{c' b(c''s)}{s^\eta},$$

and then Theorem 5 gives us our conclusion.

In distributional form our hypothesis is

$$L \equiv \int_0^\infty |\{M_j f > t^{1/p}\}| a(t) dt \leq A^j \int_0^\infty |\{f > (t/c_2)^{1/p}\}| b(t) dt \equiv R.$$

By Lemma 3

$$L \geq c_1 \int_0^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/p}} \int_{\{M_{j-1} f > c_3 t^{1/p}\}} M_{j-1} f(x) dx dt$$

with  $c_1, c_3$  independent of  $j$ . We apply this to the test functions  $f(x) = r\chi_{[0,1]}(x), 0 < r < \infty$ . Then

$$M_j f(x) = r\{\chi_{[0,1]}(x) + \frac{1}{x} \phi_{i-1}(x) \chi_{[1,\infty)}(x)\}, \phi_k(x) = \sum_{0}^k \frac{\log^j x}{j!}.$$

Therefore the inner integral is

$$\int_{\{M_{j-1}f > c_3 t^{1/p}\}} M_{j-1}f(x) dx \geq \int_{\{(r/x)\phi_{j-2}(x) > c_3 t^{1/p}\}} M_{j-1}f(x) dx.$$

For  $0 < t < (r/c_3)^p$ , the set  $\{(r/x)\phi_{j-2}(x) > c_3 t^{1/p}\} \supset [1, \sigma(t)]$ , where  $\sigma(t)$  is defined by

$$(r/\sigma(t))\phi_{j-2}(\sigma(t)) = c_3 t^{1/p}.$$

Since  $\phi_{j-2}(x) \geq 1$ , we get  $\sigma(t) \geq r/(c_3 t^{1/p})$ . Hence

$$\int_{\{M_{j-1}f > c_3 t^{1/p}\}} M_{j-1}f(x) dx \geq \int_1^{r/(c_3 t^{1/p})} \frac{r}{x(j-2)!} \log^{j-2} x dx = \frac{r}{(j-1)!} \log^{j-1} \frac{r}{c_3 t^{1/p}} = \frac{r}{p^{j-1}(j-1)!} \log^{j-1} \frac{r^p}{c_3^p t}.$$

Thus

$$L \geq \frac{c_1 r}{p^{j-1}(j-1)!} \int_0^{(r/c_3)^p} \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/p}} \log^{j-1} \frac{r^p}{c_3^p t} dt.$$

Also

$$R \leq A^j \int_0^{c_4 r^p} b(t) dt \leq A^j c_4^p b(c_4^p r^p),$$

since  $b$  is quasi-increasing. Let  $s = (r/c_3)^p$ . Then

$$\frac{c_5}{p^{j-1}(j-1)!} s^{1/p} \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/p}} \log^{j-1}(s/t) dt \leq A^j c_6 s b(c_7 s).$$

Then

$$\frac{c_5}{c_*} s^{1/p} \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/p}} \sum_{(j-1)! p^{j-1} c_*^{j-1}} \log^{j-1}(s/t) dt \leq c_8 s b(c_7 s),$$

since  $c_* > A$ . Since the sum inside the integral  $= (s/t)^\eta$ , we get

$$L_1 \equiv c_9 s^{1/p} \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1/p}} (s/t)^\eta dt \leq c_8 s b(c_7 s).$$

If  $1 = p$  we stop, and if  $p > 1$  we note that

$$L_1 \geq c_{10} s \int_{s/2}^s \frac{a(t)}{t} (s/t)^\eta dt.$$

Finally, the weak doubling condition gives us

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq \frac{c_{11} b(c_7 s)}{s^\eta}.$$

There is a converse to Theorem 8 which reads as follows.

**Theorem 9** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and assume that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^{p-\varepsilon}(x)) dx \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2 f^{p-\varepsilon}(x)) dx.$$

If in case  $p > 1$  the measure  $d\mu = \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt$ ,  $\eta = \varepsilon/(p-\varepsilon)$ , is weakly doubling, then for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in A_p$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(M_j f^p) u \leq c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_j' f^p) u.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 5,  $\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t^{1+\eta}} dt \leq \frac{c'b(c''s)}{s^\eta}$ . Then

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} \sum_{(j-1)!} \frac{\eta^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \log^{j-1}(s/t) dt \leq c'b(c''s).$$

Thus for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} \log^{j-1}(s/t) dt \leq c_j' b(c''s).$$

Theorem 7 completes the proof.

## 8. APPLICATIONS

We give some examples of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  which are  $(c', c'')$ -related and investigate the implications of Theorem 1. We will get some unusual and surprising integral inequalities.

**I.** If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf^r u \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^r v,$$

for  $p < r < \infty$ .

*Proof.* This is well-known [4]. It also follows from Theorem 1 by taking  $\Phi(t) = t^\alpha, \alpha > 1$ . An easy calculation shows that we can take  $\Psi(t) = t^\alpha$ .

**II.** If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then for  $\alpha > 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log^\alpha(1 + Mf^p) u \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^p \log^{\alpha-1}(1 + f^p) v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = \log^\alpha(1+t)$ . Then  $a(t) = \alpha \frac{\log^{\alpha-1}(1+t)}{1+t}$  and

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt = \alpha \int_0^s \frac{\log^{\alpha-1}(1+t)}{t(1+t)} dt \leq \alpha \int_0^s \frac{\log^{\alpha-2}(1+t)}{1+t} dt = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log^{\alpha-1}(1+s) = b(s).$$

Also

$$\int_0^t b(s) ds \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} t \log^{\alpha-1}(1+t) \equiv \Psi(t).$$

The desired integral inequality follows from Theorem 1, since  $\log(1+cx) \leq c \log(1+x)$  if  $c \geq 1$ .

**Remark:** We cannot replace the right side by the more symmetric  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log^\alpha(1+f^p) v$ . As an example let  $u = v = 1$  and  $n = 1$ . If  $f(x) = r\chi_{[0,1]}(x), 0 < r < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^\alpha(1+f^p) = \log^\alpha(1+r^p). \text{ Since } Mf(x) \geq r/x, x \geq 1, \text{ we get}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^\alpha(1 + Mf^p) dx \geq \int_1^\infty \log^\alpha(1+(r/x)^p) dx.$$

The integrand

$$\log^\alpha(1+(r/x)^p) = (\log(x^p + r^p) - \log x^p)^\alpha \geq \left( \frac{r^p}{x^p + r^p} \right)^\alpha \geq 1/2^\alpha,$$

if  $x \leq r$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^\alpha(1+Mf^p) dx \geq \int_1^r \frac{dx}{2^\alpha} = \frac{r-1}{2^\alpha}.$$

Our assertion follows since  $\frac{\log^\alpha(1+r^p)}{r-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

III. If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} Mf^p u \leq c_1 \int_{\{f > c_2\}} f^p \log(1+f^p) v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = (t-1)\chi^1(t)$ , where  $\chi^1(t) = \chi_{[1, \infty)}(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = \chi^1(t)$ . We let

$$b(s) = \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt = (\log s)\chi^1(s).$$

Then  $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t b(s) ds \leq (t \log t)\chi^1(t)$ . By Theorem 1 we get

$$\int_{\{Mf \geq 1\}} (Mf^p - 1)u \leq c_1 \int_{\{f \geq 1/c'\}} f^p \log(c_2 f^p) v,$$

where  $c' = c_2^{1/p}$ . By Theorem 2

$$u\{Mf \geq 1\} \leq c_0 \int_{1/c_0}^\infty v\{f \geq s\} s^{p-1} ds = \frac{c_0}{p} \int_{c''}^\infty v\{f^p > t\} dt \leq \frac{c_0}{p} \int_{\{f \geq c''\}} f^p v,$$

where  $c'' = 1/(c_0^p) < 1$ . Thus we get

$$\int_{\{Mf \geq 1\}} Mf^p u \leq c_1 \int_{\{f \geq c_*\}} f^p (1 + \log(c_2 f^p)) v \leq c_1 \int_{\{f \geq c_*\}} f^p \log(1+f^p) v,$$

since  $1 + \log(cx) \leq ec \log(1+x)$  if  $ec > 1$ .

**Remark:** As a special case, if  $(u, v) \in A_1$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact, then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \log(1+f) v < \infty$  implies  $Mf\chi_K \in L^1(u)$ . This is a two-weight version of the well-known fact that  $Mf\chi_K \in L^1$ , if  $f \in L \log L$  [10].

IV. Let  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} (Mf^{\alpha p} - 1)u \leq \frac{c_1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} (c_2 f^p - c_2^\alpha f^{\alpha p}) v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = (t^\alpha - 1)\chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha-1}\chi^1(t)$ . We set

$$b(t) = \alpha \int_1^t s^{\alpha-2} ds \chi^1(t) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (1-t^{\alpha-1})\chi^1(t).$$

Hence

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_1^t (1-s^{\alpha-1}) ds \chi^1(t) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (t-t^\alpha/\alpha) + 1 \right) \chi^1(t).$$

>From Theorem 1, using  $v\{c_2 f^p > 1\} \leq \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} c_2 f^p v$ , we get the desired inequality.

V. Let  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $0 < k < \infty$ . Then

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{Mf^p} \right)^k u \leq c \int_{\{f > 1/c'\}} f^p (1 - 1/(c_2 f^p))^{k+1} v, c' = c_2^{1/p}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = (1-1/t)^k \chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = k(1-1/t)^{k-1} 1/t^2 \chi^1(t)$ . We set

$$b(t) = k \int_1^t (1-1/s)^{k-1} \frac{1}{s^3} ds \chi^1(t) \leq (1-1/t)^k \chi^1(t).$$

>From this we see that

$$\Psi(t) = \int_1^t (1-1/s)^k ds \chi^1(t) \leq (1-1/t)^k (t-1)\chi^1(t) = t(1-1/t)^{k+1} \chi^1(t),$$

and the inequality follows.

VI. Let  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-1/(Mf^p)} u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^p e^{-1/(c_2 f^p)} v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = e^{-1/t}, t > 0$  and  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . Then  $a(t) = e^{-1/t} 1/t^2$  and

$$b(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{-1/s}}{s^3} ds = e^{-1/t} \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right).$$

>From this

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t e^{-1/s} \left( \frac{1}{s} + 1 \right) ds = te^{-1/t}.$$

Theorem 1 gives the desired integral inequality.

**Remark:** The factor  $f^p$  in the above inequality cannot be omitted as examples of the type  $f_N = N\chi_{[0,1]}$  show.

VII. Suppose  $a(t) = \Phi'(t)$  is convex with  $a(0) = 0$ . If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^p) u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(c_2 f^p) v.$$

*Proof.* This follows from

$$\int_0^t \frac{a(s)}{s} ds \leq \int_0^t a'(s) ds = a(t).$$

**Remark:** Examples illustrating (VII) are  $\Phi(t) = t^2 e^t, e^t - t - 1, \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n t^n, a_n \geq 0$ . As an application we will present an inequality involving  $e^{Mf^p}$ .

VIII. If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then there exist constants  $0 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$  such that for every  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} e^{Mf^p} u \leq c_1 \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} e^{c_2 f^p} v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = (e^t - te)\chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = (e^t - e)\chi^1(t)$  and thus from VII

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} (e^{Mf^p} - Mf^p e)u \leq c' \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} (e^{c_2 f^p} - c_2 f^p e)v \leq c' \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} e^{c_2 f^p} v.$$

We only need to verify now that

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} Mf^p u \leq c_1 \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} e^{c_2 f^p} v.$$

This is easy by letting  $\Phi(t) = (t-1)\chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = \chi^1(t)$  and thus  $b(t) = \log t \chi^1(t) \leq e^t \chi^1(t)$ .

**IX.** If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} \sqrt{Mf^p - 1} u \leq c_1 \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} (c_2 f^p \tan^{-1} \sqrt{c_2 f^p - 1} - \sqrt{c_2 f^p - 1})v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi^1(t) = \chi_{[1, \infty)}(t)$ , and take  $\Phi(t) = \sqrt{t-1}\chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = 1/(2\sqrt{t-1})\chi^1(t)$  and

$$b(t) = \int_1^t \frac{1}{2s(s-1)^{1/2}} ds \chi^1(t) = \tan^{-1} \sqrt{t-1} \chi^1(t).$$

Also

$$\Psi(t) = \int_1^t \tan^{-1} \sqrt{s-1} ds \chi^1(t) = (t \tan^{-1} \sqrt{t-1} - \sqrt{t-1})\chi^1(t).$$

Theorem 1 gives us the desired integral inequality.

**Remark:** It is tempting to replace the right side of IX by the more symmetric

$$c_1 \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} \sqrt{c_2 f^p - 1} v.$$

Examples of the form  $f_N = N\chi_1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  show that this is not possible.

**X.** If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\{Mf > y\}} \log \left( e \frac{Mf}{y} \right)^p u \leq \frac{c_1}{y^p} \int_{\{f > c_2 y\}} f^p v,$$

with  $c_1, c_2$  independent of  $f$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $y > 0$  and let  $e^p \tau = y^p$ . If  $a(t) = (1/t)\chi^\tau(t)$  and

$$b(t) = \int_0^t \frac{a(s)}{s} ds = \int_\tau^t \frac{ds}{s^2} \chi^\tau(t) \leq (1/\tau)\chi^\tau(t),$$

then  $\Phi(t) = \log(t/\tau)\chi^\tau(t)$  and  $\Psi(t) \leq (t/\tau)\chi^\tau(t)$ . From Theorem 1 we get

$$\int_{\{Mf^p > \tau\}} \log \left( \frac{Mf^p}{\tau} \right) u \leq \frac{c'}{\tau} \int_{\{f^p > c_2 \tau\}} f^p v.$$

Finally

$$\int_{\{Mf > y\}} \log \left( e^p \frac{Mf^p}{y^p} \right) u \leq \int_{\{Mf^p > \tau\}} \log \left( \frac{Mf^p}{\tau} \right) u \leq \frac{c_1}{y^p} \int_{\{f > c_2 y\}} f^p v.$$

**Remark:** The above inequality is a generalization of the weak-type inequality  $u\{Mf > y\} \leq \frac{c}{y^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^p v$ .

**XI.** If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  and  $p < s < r < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} (Mf^r - Mf^s)u \leq \frac{c_1}{\beta-1} \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} (c_2^\alpha f^r - c_2^\beta f^s)v,$$

where  $\alpha = r/p, \beta = s/p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(t) = (t^\alpha - t^\beta)\chi^1(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = (\alpha t^{\alpha-1} - \beta t^{\beta-1})\chi^1(t)$  and

$$b(t) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} t^{\alpha-1} - \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} t^{\beta-1} + c_{\alpha\beta} \right) \chi^1(t),$$

where  $c_{\alpha\beta} = \beta/(\beta-1) - \alpha/(\alpha-1)$ . Consequently

$$\Psi(t) = \left( \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha-1} - \frac{t^\beta}{\beta-1} + c_{\alpha\beta} t \right) \chi^1(t) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-1} + c_{\alpha\beta} \right) t^\alpha - \frac{1}{\beta-1} t^\beta$$

$$\chi^1(t) = \frac{1}{\beta-1} (t^\alpha - t^\beta) \chi^1(t).$$

Theorem 1 gives the desired inequality.

**Remark:** If  $s = p$  above, then using the same type of argument with  $\Phi(t) = (t^\alpha - t)\chi^1(t)$ , etc, we get for  $(u, v) \in A_p$

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} (Mf^r - Mf^p)u \leq \frac{c_1 \alpha}{\alpha-1} \int_{\{c_2 f^p > 1\}} (c_2^\alpha f^r - c_2 f^p)v.$$

**XII.** The fact that  $Mf \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  unless  $f = 0$  gives rise to the question for which  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is  $\Phi(Mf) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let

$$a: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ be in } L^1_{loc}((0, \infty)) \text{ and let } \Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s) ds.$$

**Theorem 10** The following statements are equivalent for  $f \in L^\infty \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$\Phi(Mf(x)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3}$$

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt < \infty, 0 < s < \infty. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Since  $|\{Mf > t\}| \leq c_0/t \|f\|_1$  and  $Mf$  is  $(\infty, \infty)$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \int_0^{\|f\|_\infty} |\{Mf > t\}| a(t) dt \leq c \|f\|_1 \int_0^{\|f\|_\infty} \frac{a(t)}{t} dt.$$

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). We may assume that  $a(t) \neq 0$  on any interval  $(0, \varepsilon)$  and  $f \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3,  $\frac{1}{t} \int_{\{f > t\}} f(x) dx \leq c |\{Mf > t\}|$ , and

thus for  $f \in L^\infty \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\infty > \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \int_0^{\|f\|_\infty} |\{Mf > t\}| a(t) dt \geq c \int_0^{\|f\|_\infty} \frac{a(t)}{t} dt$$

$$\int_{\{f > t\}} f(x) dx dt = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{f(x)} \frac{a(t)}{t} f(x) dt dx = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(f(x)) f(x) dx,$$

where  $\Psi(r) = \int_0^r \frac{a(t)}{t} dt$ . Therefore,  $\Psi(f(x))f(x) < \infty$ , a.e.  $x$ , and hence  $\Psi(f(x)) < \infty$ , a.e.  $x$ .

Incidentally, we have established the following inequality:

$$c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(f(x))f(x)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x))dx \leq c_2 \Psi(\|f\|_\infty) \|f\|_1.$$

**XIII.** Let  $a: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $b(s) = \int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt$  and  $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds$ . If  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$  and  $(u, v) \in A_p$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf^p)u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_{p,q}(c_2 f^q)v,$$

where  $\Psi_{p,q}(t) = \int_0^{t^{p/q}} b(s)ds$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 1 since  $\Psi_{p,q}(t) = \Psi(t^{p/q})$ .

**Remark:** Theorem 1 deals with functions  $\Phi, \Psi$  non-decreasing. It is sometimes convenient to have a version of Theorem 1 with  $\Phi, \Psi$  non-increasing.

Let  $a: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and let  $\Phi(t) = \int_t^\infty a(s)ds$ . The function  $b: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is related to  $a$  by

$$\int_s^\infty ta(t)dt \leq c'b(c''s), 0 < s < \infty.$$

Finally, let

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{b(s)}{s^2} ds.$$

**Theorem 11** The following statements are equivalent for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

(6) Whenever  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are related as above, then for every  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{Mf^p}\right)u \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi\left(\frac{c_2}{f^p}\right)v,$$

where the constants  $c_1, c_2$  depend only on  $c', c''$  and  $p$ .

(7)  $(u, v) \in A_p$ .

*Proof.* The change of variables  $s \rightarrow 1/s$  shows that condition (2) of Theorem 1 is equivalent with condition (6):  $\Phi(t), \Psi(t)$  satisfy (6) if and only if  $\Phi_*(t) = \Phi(1/t), \Psi_*(t) = \Psi(1/t)$  satisfy (2) of Theorem 1.

As an example let  $\Phi(t) = \int_t^\infty e^{-s} ds$ . An easy calculation shows that we get VI. Another interesting example is  $\Phi(t) = (1-t^\alpha)\chi_1(t)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ , where  $\chi_1(t) = \chi_{[0,1]}(t)$ . Then  $a(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha-1}\chi_1(t)$  and  $s$

$$b(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} (1-t^{\alpha+1})\chi_1(t).$$

Thus

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{b(s)}{s^2} ds \chi_1(t) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} (1/t + t^\alpha/\alpha) - 1 \right\} \chi_1(t).$$

If  $(u, v) \in A_p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , Theorem 7 gives

$$\int_{\{Mf > 1\}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{Mf^{\alpha p}}\right)u \leq c_1 \int_{\{c_2^{1/p} < f\}} \left(\frac{f^p}{c_2} + \frac{c_2^\alpha}{\alpha f^{\alpha p}}\right)v - c_1 v \{f > c_2^{1/p}\}.$$

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

None declared.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Cruz-Uribe D, Martell J, Pérez C. Weights, Extrapolation, and the Theory of Rubio de Francia. In: Ball JA, Dym H, Kaashock MA, Langes H, Tretter C, Eds. Operator Theory. Advances and Applications. Basal: Birkhäuser 2010; p. 215.
- [2] Cruz-Uribe D, Neugebauer CJ. The structure of reverse Hölder classes. Trans Am Math Assoc 1995; 347: 2941-59.
- [3] Garcia-Cuerva J, Rubio De Francia JL. Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland Mathematics Studies. Netherlands: Elsevier 1985; p. 116.
- [4] Muckenhoupt B. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. Trans Am Math Assoc 1972; 165: 207-26.
- [5] Muckenhoupt B, Wheeden R. Two weight function norm inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and the Hilbert transform. Stud Math 1976; 60: 279-94.
- [6] Neugebauer CJ. Orlicz-type integral inequalities for operators. J Korean Math 2001; 38: 163-76.
- [7] Neugebauer CJ. Weighted norm inequalities for averaging operators of monotone functions. Publicacions Matemàtiques 1991; 35: 429-47.
- [8] Neugebauer CJ, Leckband MA. A general maximal operator and the  $A_p$  condition. Trans Am Math Assoc 1983; 275: 821-31.
- [9] Neugebauer CJ, Leckband MA. Weighted iterates and variants of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Trans Am Math Assoc 1983; 275(1): 51-61.
- [10] Stein EM. Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 1970.
- [11] Torchinsky A. Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis. USA: Academic Press 1986.